GUIDELINES FOR REVIEWING A CORE READING PROGRAM RUBRIC

LEAD21 Grade 4

Final Review

Directions for use:

- Thoroughly review the core reading program using the corresponding rubric.
- Only evaluate the individual components that correlate with the grade level to review. (Note the placement of the "x" within the grade level columns.)
- Each indicator must receive a score using the following criteria:
 - 2 Exceeds expectations
 - 1 Meets expectations
 - 0 Does not meet expectations

(For any indicator receiving a 0, comments must be provided justifying the score.)

Record the total score for each area in the final row for the section.



The Reviewing a Reading Program Professional Development Participant's Guide publication was created for the Center on Instruction by the Florida Center for Reading Research at Florida State University. The Center on Instruction is operated by RMC Research Corporation in partnership with the Florida Center for Reading Research at Florida State University; Instructional Research Group; Lawrence Hall of Science at the University of California – Berkeley; Texas Institute for Measurement, Evaluation, and Statistics at the University of Houston; and The Meadows Center for Preventing Educational Risk at the University of Texas at Austin.

Guideline forms excerpted from Reviewing a Reading Program Professional Development Module, Participant's Guide, 2008, Center on Instruction.

The contents of the module and this document were developed under cooperative agreement S283B050034 with the U.S. Department of Education. However, these contents do not necessarily represent the policy of the Department of Education, and you should not assume endorsement by the Federal Government.

	STRUCTIONAL ESIGN (ID)	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	Score	Comments (examples, strengths, concerns, questions)
1.	Is there empirical research on this program's efficacy?	x	х	х	х	х	х	х	1	Publisher's Comment: The LEAD21 program is based on the extensive academic research conducted by our program authors. The basis of their research and how it applies to the LEAD21 pedagogical approach is documented in the Wright Group LEAD21 Research Base papers: Early Childhood Literacy Development, Reading Comprehension, Fluency, Phonemic awareness and Phonics Instruction, Writing, Vocabulary Teaching and Learning, Differentiation and Acceleration Through Small-Group Reading Instruction, Reading, the Digital Classroom, and the LEAD21 Program, and English Language Learners.
2.	Are resources available to help the teacher understand the rationale for the instructional approach and program strategies (e.g., articles, explanations in the teacher manuals, references, and reliable websites)?	х	Х	х	Х	X	х	х	2	Strong rationale booklets were provided for several aspects of the program.
3.	Does the comprehensive program address the five components of reading (phonological/phonemic awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary and comprehension)?	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	2	
4.	In addition to the five components of reading, are other dimensions of reading such as spelling, writing, oral language, and listening comprehension addressed?	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	2	
5.	Is there a scope and sequence?	Х	Х	Х	Х	Χ	Х	Х	1	
6.	Are goals and objectives clearly stated?	Х	Х	х	Х	Х	х	х	2	
7.	Are student materials aligned with instructional objective of the lesson?	Х	х	Х	Х	Х	х	Х	2	
8.	Do instructional materials increase in difficulty as students' skills strengthen?	х	Х	Х	х	X	х	Х	2	
9.	Are all lessons and activities (e.g., whole group, small group, and centers) reading-related?	х	Х	х	х	X	х	х	2	
10.	Is there a clear and logical organization to the lessons in:									
	The order and procedures of each day's lesson?	Х	X	Х	Х	X	Х	Х	2	A specific structure is given for what students do during each day's rotation.

	The inclusion of all necessary									
	materials?	Х	X	Х	X	Х	Х	X	2	Digital copies are very easy to access.
	The consistency of each day's lesson format?	X	Х	X	X	X	Х	X	2	
	Addressing the components of reading every day?	X	х	X	X	X	Х	X	1	
11.	Is instruction consistently explicit? Is it concise, specific, and related to the objective?	х	Х	Х	х	х	х	х	2	
12.	Are teacher directives highly details to ensure accurate implementation?	X	х	X	Х	X	X	Х	2	
13.	Does the lesson format facilitate frequent interactions between teacher and students?	Х	Х	X	X	X	Х	x	2	
14.	Is instruction consistently systematic? Is there a prescribed order for introducing specific skills within each component of reading?	X	х	X	X	X	х	X	2	
15.	Are there coordinated instructional sequences and instructional routines which include:									
	Modeling?	X	X	X	X	X	X	Χ	1	
	Guided practice with feedback?	Χ	X	X	X	X	Х	X	2	
	Student practice and application?	Χ	X	X	X	X	Х	X	2	
	Cumulative review?	Χ	Х	X	X	X	X	X	2	
16.	Are there many guided practice opportunities for explicit teaching and teacher-directed feedback (for typically progressing readers and more for struggling readers)?	X	х	X	x	x	х	x	2	
17.	Does the program provide clear guidance for the teacher to document student progress and inform instruction?	Х	х	X	x	X	х	х	2	
18.	Does instruction make a clear connection <i>among</i> all five components?	X	Х	X	X	X	х	X	2	
19.	Is scaffolding a prominent part of the lessons?	Х	х	Х	Х	X	х	Х	2	It is included in every lesson!
20.	Are instructions for scaffolding specific within each lesson?	X	х	X	X	X	х	X	2	
21.	Are teachers encouraged to give immediate, specific feedback (corrective or positive)?	Х	х	Х	х	х	х	х	1	

22. Is differentiated instruction pron	ninent?	X	Х	Х	Χ	Χ	Х	Х	2	
23. Is instruction differentiated base assessment?	ed on	Х	х	х	х	Х	х	х	2	
24. Are directions for differentiating instruction specific?		X	Х	Х	Х	X	Х	Х	2	
25. Is small-group instruction (smal teacher-pupil ratio) part of daily instruction?		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	2	
26. Are there guidelines for forming flexible groups based on studer progress?		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	2	
27. Are enrichment activities include advanced students?	ed for	X	Х	Х	X	X	X	X	2	
28. Does the program provide instrutor for English Learners?	uction	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	2	EL Support included in the scaffolding sections of each lesson, including small group lessons.
29. Does the program specify for w is appropriate (e.g., students or above grade level, students slig behind their peers, students moone grade level behind their peers.	or htly re than	x	х	x	X	X	x	x	2	
30. Does the program specify who provide instruction for accurate implementation (e.g., special education teacher, general eduteacher, paraprofessional, or volunteer)?		x	х	x	x	x	x	x	1	Publisher's Comment: The LEAD21 program assumes that instruction for accurate implementation is a general education teacher by referring to "teacher-led" instruction, as in: "Each day, differentiated small group move through the sessions in a regular patter—from teacher-led instruction (Teacher's Lesson Guide, Unit 1, p. xx), and "This accessallows the teacher to lead all students in meaningful interaction with the text.
31. Does the program specify the instructional setting (e.g., gener education classroom, computer resource room)?		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	Publisher's Comment: The LEAD21 program assumes that the instructional setting is a general education classroom by referring to the "classroom," as in: "Show students the area where your classroom library is located" (Teacher's Lesson Guide, Unit 1, p. xxi), and "You may also wish to collaborate with a Library or Media Specialist to gather resources for your classroom." (Teacher's Lesson Guide, Unit 1, p. xxiii). It is generally implied that the instructional setting is a general education classroom, unless otherwise indicated.
TOTAL									66	

Pŀ	IONICS (P)	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	Score	Comments (examples, strengths, concerns, questions)
1.	Is phonics instruction explicit?	х	X	X	Х	Х	х	Х	2	Within the context of spelling instruction, there is a strong phonics component, complete with practice pages in the workbook. A word-study tied to each unit is provided as well.
2.	Is phonics instruction systematic?	X	X	Χ	Х	Х	X	Χ	2	
3.	Does phonics instruction include coordinated instructional sequences and routines ?	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	х	х	2	
4.	Is phonics instruction scaffolded?	Х	X	X	X	X	X	X	2	
5.	Does phonics instruction include cumulative review?	X	X	X	Х	X	х	х	1	Review is provided at the end of four weeks, but not across the whole yearlong program.
6.	Are assessments included to measure and monitor progress in phonics?	X	X	X	х	χ	х	х	0	There is no evidence of this in the materials provided.
7.	Are symbol to sound (decoding) and sound to symbol (spelling) taught explicitly?	X	X	X	χ	X	Х	X	1	
8.	Is spelling taught during word learning so students can understand how sounds map onto print?	X	X	X	X	X	Х	X	1	
9.	Does instruction progress from simple to more complex concepts (e.g., CVC words before CCCVCC words and single syllable words before multisyllabic words)?	Х	X	X	х	Х	х	х	2	
10.	Are reviews of previously taught concepts and words frequent and cumulative?	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	х	1	
11.	Is emphasis placed on fluency practice for each phonics component (e.g., sound identification, CVC blending, word recognition, multisyllabic words, and text reading)?	X	X	X	Х	Х	х	х	1	
12.	Are students taught the strategy of chunking when trying to decode multisyllabic words?		X	х	х	х	х	х	0	There is no evidence of this in the materials provided.
13.	Does the program provide teacher modeling of a think-aloud strategy to aid in multisyllabic word analysis?		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	0	There is no evidence of this in the materials provided.
14.	Are students taught strategies to read multisyllabic words by using prefixes, suffixes, and known word parts?		X	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	1	
15.	Is instruction explicit in the use of syllable types (e.g., open, closed, vowel-consonant-e, vowel combinations, r-controlled, and consonant-le)?		X	X	X	X	X	Х	2	

16.	Is a section of the program devoted to advances phonics (structural analysis) skills?		Х	х	х	х	Х	1	
17.	Are advanced phonics skills taught explicitly, first in isolation and then in words and connected texts?		Х	х	х	х	Х	1	
18.	Does the program include spelling strategies (e.g., word sorts, categorization activities, word-building activities, and word analogies)?	χ	χ	х	χ	Х	x	2	
19.	Is instruction in the meaning of roots and affixes explicit and do students analyze the relationship of spelling to meaning of complex words?			х	х	х	X	2	
20.	Are word parts that occur with high frequency (e.g., un-, re-, in-, and -ful) taught rather than those that occur only in a few words?	Х	Х	х	х	х	х	2	
21.	Are there activities for distinguishing and interpreting words with multiple meanings?	Х	Х	х	х	х	х	1	
22.	Once advanced phonics strategies have been mastered, are they immediately applied to reading and interpreting familiar and unfamiliar connected texts?	х	х	х	х	х	х	1	
23.	Are words used in advanced phonics activities also found in student texts?	Х	Х	Х	х	х	Х	1	
	TOTAL			•				29	

FL	UENCY (F)	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	Score	Comments (examples, strengths, concerns, questions)
1.	Is fluency instruction explicit?	χ	X	Χ	Х	Χ	Х	Χ	2	
2.	Is fluency instruction systematic?	χ	Х	Χ	Х	Χ	Х	Χ	2	
3.	Does fluency instruction include coordinated instructional sequences and routines?	Х	X	X	Х	X	х	Х	2	
4.	Is fluency instruction scaffolded?	Χ	X	X	Х	Χ	Х	Χ	1	
5.	Does fluency instruction include cumulative review ?	χ	X	X	Х	X	Х	Χ	1	
6.	Are assessments included to measure and monitor progress in fluency?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	
7.	Does the program address all dimensions of fluency (speed, accuracy, and prosody)?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	2	
8.	Does the program encourage the teacher to model speed, accuracy, and prosody?	X	X	X	X	X	Х	X	2	
9.	Does fluency practice during letter-sound study and text reading involve the teacher's providing feedback to students?	X	X	X	Х	X	Х	X	0	There is no evidence of this in the materials provided.

	fluency instruction integrated into each day's sson?	χ	X	X	X	X	Х	Х	2	A different activity is provided for each day.
	the decoding strategy taught so that it becomes itomatic?	х	Х	х	Х	х	Х	х	0	There is no evidence of this in the materials provided.
	e irregular words taught to be recognized stomatically?	Х	Х	X	X	X	х	х	1	
	there an emphasis on reading multisyllabic words ently?		Х	X	Х	X	Х	Х	1	
rea	re research-based fluency strategies (e.g., timed adings, peer reading, and repeated readings) cluded?		Х	х	X	Х	Х	х	1	With the assessment materials provided, it was not evident how timed readings are addressed.
pro	fluency practice introduced after students are oficient at reading words accurately (e.g., in lists, entences, and passages)?		X	X	X	X	X	X	2	
tha	pes fluency practice involve decodeable texts (texts at include phonic elements and word types udents have previously been taught)?		X	X	X	X	χ	х	1	
	re both narrative and expository texts provided for udents to read aloud?		Х	Х	Х	X	Х	Х	2	In addition, performance genre texts are included.
to	re teacher prompts included to encourage students read aloud in order to determine skills application and accuracy?		X	X	X	X	χ	X	1	
the	ter error correction, are students asked to reread e word, word list, or sentence correctly and then to read it from the beginning?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	1	Publisher's Comment: Students practice one fluency selection each week. Teachers conduct miscue analyses, including word-level errors of mispronunciation, using the Practice Companion workbooks and the Assessment Handbooks, and then students reread the same selection every day, each day focusing on a different skill: expression, phrasing, pacing, rehearsal, and presentation. Additionally, students use the Reading Response Forms in their Practice Companion workbooks to evaluate themselves and their reading partners on the Fluency readings, judging the accuracy of individual words, pacing, expression, and clarity after the first, the second, and the final readings for each Fluency selection.
use	re students given ample practice opportunities to se text at their independent or instructional level to elp build fluency?		X	X	X	X	X	Х	2	

21. Is the number of texts at each level sufficient to provide adequate practice opportunities?	X	X	X	х	X	X	1	While additional texts are recommended, they are not provided as part of the actual program.
Does the program clearly show the teacher how to determine independent, instructional, and frustrational reading levels for individual students?	X	X	X	Х	X	X	1	
23. Is there a guide to help teachers calculate fluency rate?	x	X	х	Х	х	х	0	There is no evidence of this in the materials provided.
24. Do students have opportunities to time themselves and graph results after rereading the same text?		x	X	х	Х	х	0	There is no evidence of this in the materials provided.
25. Are there directions for how to pair students for partner reading?	Х	Х	Х	Х	х	Х	1	
Are students taught a specific error correction to use when reading with a partner?	х	х	х	x	х	X	1	Publisher's Comment: Specific fluency skills—expression, phrasing, and pacing—are explicitly taught in a whole group setting. Then students use the Reading Response Forms in their Practice Companion workbooks to evaluate themselves and their reading partners on the Fluency readings, judging the accuracy of individual words, pacing, expression, and clarity after the first, second, and final readings of each Fluency selection.
27. Is there continuous progress monitoring of oral reading fluency?	X	X	х	х	х	х	1	A rubric is provided for fluency assessment in prosody but not rate.
28. Is an end-of-the-year fluency goal of correct words per minute given for each grade?	X	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	1	
TOTAL							33	

V	OCABULARY (V)	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	Score	Comments (examples, strengths, concerns, questions)
1.	Is vocabulary instruction explicit ?	Х	Х	Х	Χ	Х	Х	Χ	2	
2.	Is vocabulary instruction systematic?	Х	Х	Х	χ	Х	Х	χ	2	
3.	Does vocabulary instruction include coordinated instructional sequences and routines ?	Х	х	х	Х	х	х	Х	2	
4.	Is vocabulary instruction scaffolded?	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	χ	2	

5.	Does vocabulary instruction include cumulative review ?	Х	Х	х	Х	х	Х	Х	1	
6.	Are assessments included to measure and monitor progress in vocabulary ?	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	1	
7.	Is emphasis placed on listening and speaking vocabulary?	Х	Х	х	Х	х	Х	Х	2	
8.	Is there emphasis on reading and writing vocabulary?	Х	Х	Х	χ	Х	Х	χ	2	
9.	Are students exposed to diverse vocabulary through listening to or reading narrative and expository texts?	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	2	
10.	Does the program include frequent use of teacher read-alouds using higher level books with explanation and instruction of key vocabulary?	X	X	х	х	х	X	Х	1	
11.	Does the program include a variety of texts that allow students ample opportunities to engage in wide reading at their independent levels?		X	х	х	х	X	Х	1	Materials provided include only one level above grade with the leveled readers.
12.	Does vocabulary instruction occur before, during, and after reading?	X	Х	Х	X	Х	Х	χ	2	
13.	Are a limited number of words selected for robust, explicit vocabulary instruction?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	2	
14.	Are important, useful, and difficult words taught?	X	X	X	X	X	X	χ	2	
15.	Does the instructional routine for vocabulary include:									
	Introducing the word?	χ	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	2	
	Presenting a student-friendly explanation?	Х	Х	Х	χ	Х	Х	χ	2	
	Clarifying the word with examples?	Х	Х	Х	χ	Х	Х	χ	2	
	Checking students' understanding?	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	Χ	Χ	2	
16.	Are ample opportunities provided to engage in oral vocabulary activities that:									
	Repeat exposure to words in rich and multiple contexts?	X	X	Х	Х	Х	X	X	1	
	Use everyday language to explain word meanings?	X	Х	X	X	X	Х	Χ	1	
	Connect word meanings to prior knowledge?	X	Х	X	X	X	Х	Χ	1	
17.	Are students given multiple opportunities to use new words in reading sentences, paragraphs, or longer texts?	X	X	х	х	х	x	х	1	
18.	Is extended instruction provided in multiple contexts to promote word awareness using word banks, vocabulary logs, writing, semantic maps, concept definition mapping, and word classification?	X	Х	Х	х	Х	Х	х	1	
19.	Are strategies taught over time to ensure understanding and correct application?	X	X	X	Х	X	X	Х	2	

20.	Are meanings of prefixes, roots, and suffixes taught before connecting them to words?		X	х	X	х	х	Х	2	
21.	Is a strategy to determine word meanings based on meanings of prefixes, roots, and suffixes taught?		X	Х	X	Х	Х	X	2	
22.	Are various aspects of word study included (either under vocabulary or word recognition) such as:									
	Concepts of word meaning?	X	Χ	Х	χ	Х	Х	Х	1	
	Multiple meanings?	X	X	х	Х	х	х	х	0	There is minimal evidence of this in the materials provided.
	Synonyms?	Х	Х	Х	χ	Х	Х	χ	1	
	Antonyms?	Х	Χ	Х	χ	Х	Х	χ	1	
	Homonyms?		Х	Х	χ	Х	Х	χ	1	
	Figurative meanings?		Х	Х	χ	Х	Х	χ	1	
	Morphemic analysis?			Х	χ	Х	Х	χ	2	
	Etymologies?				χ	Х	Х	χ	2	
23.	Is dictionary use explicitly taught using grade- appropriate dictionaries?		Х	Х	х	х	х	х	0	There is minimal evidence of this in the materials provided.
24.	Is the use of context to gain the meaning of an unfamiliar word kept to a minimum?	X	Х	Х	X	Х	Х	Х	1	
25.	Is computer technology used to help teach vocabulary?	X	Х	Х	Х	х	х	Х	1	
	TOTAL								54	

C	OMPREHENSION (C)	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	Score	Comments (examples, strengths, concerns, questions)
1.	Is comprehension instruction explicit?	X	X	Х	χ	Х	Х	χ	2	
2.	Is comprehension instruction systematic?	Х	Х	Х	χ	Х	Х	χ	2	
3.	Does comprehension instruction include coordinated instructional sequences and routines?	X	Х	Х	Х	х	Х	X	2	
4.	Is comprehension instruction scaffolded?	Х	Х	Х	χ	Х	Х	χ	2	
5.	Does comprehension instruction include cumulative review?	Х	Х	Х	Х	х	Х	X	2	
6.	Are assessments included to measure and monitor progress in comprehension?	X	Х	Х	Х	х	Х	Х	1	

7.	Is learning to determine which strategy to use and why (metacognition) part of instruction?	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	X	1	
8.	When a strategy is taught, is it applied frequently so students understand its usefulness?	X	Х	Х	X	Х	Х	X	2	
9.	Are students asked to apply previously learned strategies to new texts?		Х	Х	Х	X	X	X	2	
10.	Is appropriate text provided for students to practice applying strategies?		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	X	1	
11.	Does program instruction enable students to establish and adjust purposes for reading (e.g., reading to understand, interpret, inform, to enjoy, and to solve problems)?	X	х	х	х	х	х	х	2	
12.	Does instruction support the use of multiple, coordinated comprehension strategies?	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	X	2	
13.	Are guided and supported cooperative learning groups suggested as an instructional technique?	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	X	X	2	
14.	Does instruction begin with the use of short passages?	X	Х	Х	Х	X	X	X	2	
15.	Does instruction emphasize that students have a conceptual understanding of beginning, middle, and end?	X	X	X	х	X	X	X	1	
16.	Does the program provide prompts for the teacher to guide the students through texts using think-alouds?	X	Х	Х	Х	X	X	X	2	
17.	Are models of effective questioning techniques (e.g., Bloom's taxonomy) provided to guide and monitor students' comprehension?	X	χ	х	х	X	x	X	1	
18.	Are there ample opportunities for students to listen to narrative and expository text?	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	2	
19.	Is instruction in narrative and expository text structures explicit?	X	Х	Х	Х	X	X	X	2	
20.	Are there ample opportunities for students to read narrative and expository texts at independent and instructional levels?		х	х	х	х	x	X	2	
21.	Is there a variety of narrative and expository books at the appropriate readability level for student practice?		Х	Х	Х	X	X	X	1	
22.	Do texts contain useful and familiar concepts and vocabulary?	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	2	
23.	Are there instructional routines for comprehension strategies for use before, during, and after reading (e.g., prediction, story grammar, summarization, graphic organizers)?	Х	х	х	х	х	х	х	2	
24.	Is the "main idea" strategy taught systematically (e.g., using pictures, then individual sentences, then paragraphs, etc.)?	X	X	X	x	X	X	x	2	

idea," a	students have grasped the concept of "main are more complex texts used in which the main not explicit?		Х	Х	х	х	Х	X	2	
charac	ements of story grammar (e.g., setting, eters, important events, etc.) taught and used elling a story?	х	Х	х	х	х	Х	х	2	
	nstruction focus on discussion story grammar omparing stories?	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	X	2	
	y grammar introduced systematically, beginning mple text that gradually becomes more ex?	х	Х	х	х	Х	Х	х	2	
illustra	udents taught to use graphic organizers to te relationships among concepts in text (e.g., naps, Venn diagrams, and semantic maps)?	X	X	х	х	Х	х	х	1	
	nventions of expository text (e.g., chapter ags, charts, and graphs) taught?		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	X	2	
	plicit strategies for interpreting information from graphs, tables, and diagrams taught?	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	X	2	
32. After in	nstruction, is there systematic review of:									
Literal	comprehension?	Χ	Х	Х	χ	Х	Х	Х	2	
Retelli	ng?	X	Х	Χ	χ	Х	Х	χ	2	
Main id	dea?	X	Х	Χ	χ	Х	Х	χ	2	
Summ	arization?	X	Х	χ	χ	Х	Х	χ	2	
becom strateg explicit underli	the program provide instruction for students to be self-directed in using comprehension gies (e.g., rereading, paraphrasing, making to connections from text to prior knowledge, ining and note-taking, and visualizing and events in the text)?		X	X	x	х	Х	x	2	
	TOTAL								65	

STANDARDS ALIGNMENT	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	Score	Comments (examples, strengths, concerns, questions)
Is clear alignment provided to the Common Core State Standards?	X	X	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	2	
Is clear alignment provided to the Indiana Academic Standards?			Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	2	
TOTAL								4	

	OTIVATION AND ENGAGEMENT I&E)	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	Score	Comments (examples, strengths, concerns, questions)
Does the program direct the teacher in ways to increase student motivation such as:										
1.	Making reading relevant to students' lives?	χ	Х	Х	Χ	Χ	Х	X	2	
2.	Providing meaningful goals for learning from texts?	Х	Х	Х	Χ	Χ	Х	X	2	
3.	Making available a variety of choices (e.g., texts and assignments) that align with instruction?	Х	х	х	Х	Х	Х	Х	2	
4.	Providing opportunities for students to work collaboratively?	Х	X	Х	X	X	Х	X	2	
	TOTAL								8	

AS	SSESSMENT (A)	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	Score	Comments (examples, strengths, concerns, questions)
1.	Are assessments included that teachers can use to guide student movement through the program (e.g., screening, progress monitoring, diagnostic, and outcome measures)?	х	х	х	х	х	х	Х	1	
2.	Does the program provide teacher guidance in using assessment results to differentiate instruction?	х	х	х	Х	х	х	X	1	
3.	Do the assessments identify students who are at risk or already experiencing difficulty learning to read?	Х	х	х	Х	х	х	X	1	
	TOTAL								3	

PF (P	ROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT D)	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	Score	Comments (examples, strengths, concerns, questions)
1.	Is adequate time offered for teachers to learn new concepts and practice what they have learned?	Х	X	X	χ	Х	X	X	1	
2.	Is there a plan for coaches, mentors, peers, or outside experts to provide feedback to teachers and follow-up assistance as they put new concepts into practice?	Х	X	х	Х	х	х	X	2	
3.	Are teachers taught how to administer and interpret assessments that accompany the program?	х	X	х	Х	х	Х	X	1	
4.	Is program PD customized to meet participants' varying needs (e.g., first-year teachers, coaches, and principals)?	х	Х	х	х	х	х	х	1	
5.	Does the PD provide support (e.g., principal checklists, follow-up, in-class modeling, and a CD for viewing model lessons) to facilitate application of content?	Х	X	Х	Х	Х	Х	X	1	
	TOTAL								6	